Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Ghasem Rezanejad Bardajee, Mitchell A. Winnik and Alan J. Lough\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3H6

Correspondence e-mail: alough@chem.utoronto.ca

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.054 wR factor = 0.161 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

## 4-[*N*-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-*N*-methylamino]-*N*-isopropyl-1,8-naphthalimide

In the title crystal structure,  $C_{18}H_{20}N_2O_3$ , molecules are linked into centrosymmetric dimers *via* O–H···O hydrogen bonds [H···O = 1.92 (3) Å]. These dimers are, in turn, linked by weak C–H···O hydrogen bonds [H···O = 2.42 Å], forming a two-dimensional network. Received 20 March 2006 Accepted 21 March 2006

## Comment

1.8-Naphthalimide derivatives are highly fluorescent and photostable. They have been used as fluorescent dyes for synthetic polymers and textile materials, in fluorescent solar energy collectors, as liquid-crystal additives, as electro-optically sensitive materials, in laser technology and as fluorescent markers in medicine and biology (Grabtchev et al., 1996). 4-Amino-1,8-naphthalimide derivatives are used as yellow components for daylight fluorescent pigments; most applications of 1,8-naphthalimide derivatives are as fluorescent brighteners in detergents, textiles, paper, plastics and paints (Dorlars et al., 1975; Gold, 1971). 1,8-Naphthalimide derivatives are used as fluorescent dichroic dyes in liquid crystal displays (Wolarz et al., 1992; Marty'nski, Mykowska & Bauman, 1994; Marty'nski, Mykowska, Stolarski & Bauman, 1994), and their high fluorescent quantum yield and photostability makes them excellent candidates for dye lasers, where a high quantum yield and low level of re-absorption are extremely important. More recently, 1,8-naphthalimide derivatives have been studied as DNA intercalators (Tao et al., 1996) and they have been proposed for use in the quantitation of paramagnetic transition metal cations by fluorescent emission enhancement (Chang et al., 1992; Mitchell et al., 1998). Here we report the crystal structure of the title naphthalimide, derived from the reaction of 2-(methylamino)ethanol with 4bromo-N-isopropyl-1,8-napthtalimide in the presence of triethylamine as a base.



The molecular structure of (2) is shown in Fig. 1 and selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1. The

All rights reserved

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography



## Figure 1

Molecular structure of (2), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids (arbitrary spheres for H atoms).



A partial packing plot (Spek, 2003) of (2), showing hydrogen bonds as dashed lines.

geometry at atom N2 is slightly pyramidal as indicated by the sum of the angles at this atom [357.1°] compared to the sum of the angles at N1  $[360.0^{\circ}]$ . In the crystal structure, molecules are linked via O-H···O hydrogen bonds into centrosymmetric dimers, forming  $R_2^2(22)$  rings (Bernstein, et al., 1995). These dimers are, in turn, linked by weak  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds to form a two-dimensional network perpendicular to the *a* axis (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

## **Experimental**

Synthesis of (1): in a round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnet and condenser, 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride (5 mmol, 1.4 g) was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane (20 ml) and isopropylamine (4 ml) was added at room temperature. The solution was refluxed for 5 h, and the course of the reaction was monitored using TLC on silica gel with *n*-heptane-acetone (3:1) as eluent. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the product was separated by column chromatography on silca gel. Yield 72%; m.p. = 337-338 K.

Synthesis of (2): in a round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnet and condenser, 4-bromo-N-isopropylnaphthalimide (1) (1 mmol, 0.317 g) was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) at room temperature and then 2-(methylamino)-ethanol amine (5 ml) and triethylamine (5 ml) were added. The solution was refluxed and the course of the reaction was monitored using TLC on silica gel with nheptane-acetone (3:1) as eluent. After 12 h, the reaction was completed and product (2) was isolated by pouring into water. After filtration, the resulting crystals were washed with water and recrystalyzed in ethanol. The crystals were dried under vacuum at 313 K. Yield 61%; mp 420-421 K.

Crystal data

$C_{18}H_{20}N_2O_3$	$D_x = 1.370 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 312.36$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 9870
a = 13.6894 (4) Å	reflections
b = 11.2312 (8) Å	$\theta = 2.8-25.0^{\circ}$
c = 10.2511 (9) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 106.086 \ (4)^{\circ}$	T = 150 (1)  K
$V = 1514.38 (18) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, orange
Z = 4	$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$

#### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer  $\varphi$  scans and  $\omega$  scans with  $\kappa$  offsets Absorption correction: none 9870 measured reflections 2660 independent reflections

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.054$  $wR(F^2) = 0.161$ S = 1.032660 reflections 215 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

# Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

N1-C11	1.402 (3)	N2-C5	1.384 (3)
N1-C1	1.405 (3)	N2-C16	1.459 (3)
N1-C13	1.493 (3)	N2-C17	1.465 (3)
C11-N1-C1	123.3 (2)	C5-N2-C16	119.0 (2)
C11-N1-C13	118.9 (2)	C5-N2-C17	122.6 (2)
C1-N1-C13	117.75 (19)	C16-N2-C17	115.5 (2)

1688 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0822P)^2]$ 

-3

+ 0.0395P] where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^2$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

 $R_{\rm int}=0.104$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$  $h = -16 \rightarrow 16$ 

 $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ 

 $l = -12 \rightarrow 11$ 

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{c} O3 - H3O \cdots O1^{i} \\ C7 - H7A \cdots O3^{ii} \end{array}$	0.92 (3)	1.92 (3)	2.816 (3)	166 (3)
	0.95	2.42	3.162 (3)	135

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x,  $-y + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

H atoms bonded to C atoms were placed in calculated positions with C-H distances ranging from 0.95 to 1.00 Å. They were included in the refinement in riding-model approximation with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  or  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$  for methyl H atoms. The hydroxyl H atom (H3O) was refined independently with an isotropic displacement parameter.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1997–2002); cell refinement: *DENZO-SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO-SMN*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2001); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors acknowledge NSERC and the University of Toronto for funding, and the Iranian Ministry of Science, Research and Technology for support.

## References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, G., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Gualiardi, A. & Polidori, G. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Chang, S.-C., Utecht, R. E., Lewis, D. E. & Judy, M. M. (1992). In Condition monitoring: the 1990's and beyond, edited by A. L. Tons. Proc. Intl. JOAP Conf., Department of Defense.
- Dorlars, A., Schellhammer, C.-W. & Schroeder, J. (1975). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 14, 665–679.
- Gold, H. (1971). In *The chemistry of synthetic dyes*, edited by K. Venkataraman, Vol. V, p.p. 535–679. New York: Academic Press.
- Grabtchev, I., Philipova, T., Meallier, P. & Guittonneau, S. (1996). Dyes Pigments, **31**, 31–34.
- Marty'nski, T., Mykowska, A., Stolarski, R. & Bauman, D. (1994). Dyes Pigments, 25, 115-129.
- Marty'nski, T., Mykowska, E. & Bauman, D. (1994). J. Mol. Struct. 325, 161– 167.
- Mitchell, K. A., Brown, R. G., Yuan, D., Chang, S.-C., Utecht, R. E. & Lewis, D. E. (1998). J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 115, 157–161.
- Nonius (1997-2002). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A edited by C. W. Carter & R. M. Sweet pp. 307–326. London: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2001). SHELXTL/PC. Version 6.1 Windows NT Version. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Tao, Z.-F., Qian, X. & Tang, J. (1996). Dyes Pigments, 30, 247-252.
- Wolarz, E., Moryson, H. & Bauman, D. (1992). Displays, 13, 171-178.